INSECT PEST MANAGEMENT OF LEMA MELANOPA IN ROMANIA

Constantin Popov¹, Dana Malschi², Florica Vilau³, Valeriu Stoica⁴

ABSTRACT

In Romania, the Lema genus is represented by six species, of which, Lema melanopa is dominant and very harmful. Lema melanopa is spread all over the country and has a damaging area of about 200,000 ha. The most affected counties are in West and center of the country as well as in Southern hilly regions. Among the natural parasites, one can mention Trichogramma species (on eggs) as well as predators of eggs and larva (Chrysopa carnea, Nabis ferus, Coccinella septempunctata). The natural parasites do not present practical importance. The small grain protection is achieved by chemical treatments, annually applied on 50,000-100,000 ha. The research regarding testing of insecticides emphasize the high efficiency of synthesis pyrethroids based on deltametrin, cipermetrin, alfacipermetrin, lambdacipermetrin, zetacipermetrin. The treatments are applied against both adults and larvae, the economic damage threshold (EDT) being 10 adults/m², respectively 250 larvae/m².

Key words : chemical treatment, EDT, IPM, Lema melanopa species

INTRODUCTION

A mong the 800 species of *Lema* genus, many of them, identified as pests of various crops, *Lema melanopa* is a very dangerous pest of small grains (Arion, 1957; Boguleanu, 1994; Weloso, 1973). Both as adult and larva, the attack of this insect produces significant qualitative and quantitative damages in oats, barley, two-rowed barley, wheat or rye (Barbulescu et al., 1993, 1998; Hulea et al., 1975; Rogojanu and Perju, 1979; Savescu, 1962-1964). Beside the yield reduction, the attacked plants cannot be used as green fodder due to repellent taste (Balachowschi and Mesnil, 1935-1936; Barbulescu, 1998; Barbulescu et al., 2002; Sandru, 1996).

Mentioned as pest since the end of XIX century, in the center of Europe (Salo, 1893, quoted by Knechtel, 1951; Knechtel and Knechtel, 1909; Knechtel and Manolache, 1936), oats beetle was permanently, during the XX Century, in attention of small grain cultivators from all European countries (Sajov, 1893; Vassiliev, 1910; Sacchi, 1932; Rubtov, 1951; Sther, 1970; Papp, 1987; Kaniuczak, 1988; Mamedov, 1994, quoted by Knechtel, 1951; Venturi, 1936; Manolache et al., 1946-1957; Szabolcs, 1974; Sin et al., 2000), Asia (Mueata and Ikeda, 1926; Yun, 1967; Koralov, 1988, quoted by Knechtel, 1951) but also in USA, where the pest manifested very aggressive by (Webster, 1972; Wilson and Treece, 1969).

In Romania, since the first occurrence (Knechtel and Knechtel, 1909; Leon, 1912, quoted by Knechtel and Manolache, 1936), oats beetle was identified as dangerous pest, fact mentioned further on (Knechtel and Manolache, 1936; Paulian et al., 1974, 1978; Barbulescu et al., 1993, 1998; Popov, 1991, 2003; Popov et al., 2003, 2004; Bucurean, 1998).

The paper present recent data about spreading and composition of *Lema* species, the level of populations and damages as well as data regarding the biotic and abiotic factors, including the chemical treatments, which present importance in *Lema* population dynamics in Romania.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Research regarding the spreading and composition of *Lema* species were performed based on biological material collected from most counties during 1998-2003. The statistical analysis of small grain infestation as well as the treatments performed at the country level include the 1993-2002 period. The studies regarding the influence of biotic and abiotic factors were made in areas representative for *Lema melanopa* evolution, respectively Romanian Plain and Transylvanian Plateau.

¹ Agricultural Research and Development Institute Fundulea, 915200 Fundulea, Calarasi County, Romania

² Agricultural Research and Development Station Turda, 401100 Turda, Cluj County, Romania

³ Agricultural Research and Development Station Caracal, 235200 Caracal, Olt County, Romania

⁴ Agricultural Research and Development Station Marculesti, 917951 Perisoru, Calarasi County, Romania

The testing of insecticide efficiency was performed at A.R.D.I. Fundulea, A.R.D.S. Caracal, A.R.D.S. Oradea, A.R.D.S. Marculesti and A.R.D.S. Turda during 2000-2005. The insecticides were tested against both adults and larvae, under high infestation conditions, over the economic damage threshold of 10 adults/m² respectively 250 larvae/m². The experiments were placed in whe at, barley and oats fields as randomized blocks in five reps. Plots were of 100 m^2 (10) m x 10 m) for adults and 25 m² (5 m x 5 m) for larvae. The treatments application was done based on warning, when larvae occurrence was at least 80% from total, mainly in the first two ages. The control of efficiency was performed after 24 hours from treatment application by countings on

50 x 50 m areas, ten testings per each plot. The results were statistically processed.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In Romania, *Lema* genus is represented by many species but *Lema melanopa* is dominant. *Lema lichenis* is present too, while species *L. spetentrionis*, *L. rufocyanea*, or *L. galiacciana* are only occasionally identified (Table 1). Analyzing the level of oats beetle populations in the last decade (Table 2) one can ascertain that 600,000-900,000 ha cultivated with small grains are infested, and on about 200,000 ha, infestation levels over EDT limit are registered. The applied treatments ranged between 56,364 (2001) and 103,500 ha (1997).

Table 1. Weight of Lema species, under various conditions of Romania

| Service | | | Area | | |
|--------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Species | Baragan Plain | West Plain | Dobrudja | Getic Plateau | Moldavian Plateau |
| Lema melanopa | 96 | 98 | 95 | 89 | 83 |
| Lema lichenis | 3 2 | | 5 | 8 | 12 |
| Lema septentrionis | is 1 Occasionally | | Occasionally | 2 | 5 |
| Lema rufocyanea | Occasionally | Occasionally | Occasionally | Occasionally | 1 |
| Lema cyanipennis | cyanipennis Occasionally Occasionally | | Occasionally | 1 | Occasionally |
| Lema gallacciana | Occasionally | Occasionally | Occasionally | Occasionally | Occasionally |

Table 2. Level of small grain infestation (ha) by Lema melanopa in the last period, in Romania

| Infestation level | | | Year | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| | | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2004 | 2005 | | | | |
| Occasionally | y | 626,400 | 697,000 | 887,000 | 612,000 | 704,620 | 654,000 | 637,000 | 624,000 | | | | |
| Moderate | >250 | 96,500 | 100,250 | 78,200 | 80,560 | 105,000 | 123,800 | 89,000 | 73,000 | | | | |
| Strong | <250 | 68,538 | 18,758 | 67,830 | 72,270 | 66,497 | 74,539 | 63,280 | 46,500 | | | | |
| Treated areas | | 65,805 | 58,457 | 103,500 | 63,364 | 56,428 | 57,022 | 45,829 | 38,000 | | | | |

Note: Economic damage threshold (EDT) - 250 individuals/m²

It is well-known that oats beetle prefers oat crops, but, the strong reduction of oats cultivation in the last 50 years, determined the insect migration to wheat, well-represented in Romania. The highest infestations are noticed on fresh plants, sown in spring, fact proved by the infestation level registered in spring barley or oats, sown at different time. Although many plants of different crops were present, oats beetle prefers young plants (Table 3).

Thus, the winter crops presented a reduced infestation as compared to spring crops. Among the spring crops, the youngest ones are preferred.

| Table 3. Influence | of crop and | sowing time | on level of |
|--------------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|
| infestation with | Lema melan | opa, in Fund | ulea area |

| | | Density (larvae/m ²) | | | | | | |
|---------------|--|----------------------------------|--------------|------|-----------|--|--|--|
| Crop | Sowing time | , | 2001 | 2002 | | | | |
| | So wing unit | in | 01/01/0 (70) | in | 011070000 | | | |
| | | area | average | area | average | | | |
| Wheat | 12nd October | 86 | 28.4 | 37 | 12.4 | | | |
| Rye | 12 nd October | 68 | 20.7 | 17 | 9.3 | | | |
| Triticale | 12 nd October | 45 | 14.2 | 13 | 2.8 | | | |
| Winter barley | 8 th October | 103 | 75.8 | 79 | 32.4 | | | |
| Spring barley | 3 nd -7 th April | 265 | 178.7 | 204 | 125.3 | | | |

| Spring barley | 27 th -30 th April | 386 | 243.3 | 314 | 186.8 |
|---------------|--|-----|-------|-----|-------|
| Oats | 3 nd -11 th April | 435 | 280.8 | 367 | 231.0 |
| Oats | 27th-30th April | 572 | 311.2 | 458 | 287.6 |

The oats beetle populations support the higher pressure of a large number of antagonistic insects, capable to diminish their numerical level.

Among parasites, oophagous parasites belonging to *Trichogramma* genus have strong influence, with attack levels up to 40%, as well as *Tensolchus moderator* which parasitizes up to 1/3 of larvae (Table 4). The species *Chrysopa carnea, Nabis ferus* or *Coccinella septempunctata* behave as predators of both eggs and larvae. The chemical control is the main protection method against *Lema melanopa*. Research of the last years revealed the good efficiency of many products, especially synthesis pyrethroids, which are presently approved for utilization (Tables 5, 6 and 7). As a rule, the treatments to control oats beetle larvae are applied in the last decade of May and first decade of June. The infestation level is very high, exceeding the EDT, considered to be 250 larvae/m². The high efficiency of all products, depends on correct warning, at the ∞ -currence of the first larvae age, which determines both a high efficiency of control and avoidance of damages by lack of rearing.

| Tal | ble | 24 | | Paras | ites | and | prec | lators | s of | oats | beet | le |
|-----|-----|----|--|-------|------|-----|------|--------|------|------|------|----|
|-----|-----|----|--|-------|------|-----|------|--------|------|------|------|----|

| Parasites | Attack level (%) | Predators | Attack level (%) | | |
|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| Oophagous p | arasites | Predators | of eggs | | |
| Trichogramma spp. | 3-40 | Chrysopa carnea | 2-15 | | |
| Anaphes flavipes | 1-5 | Nabis ferus | 9-33 | | |
| | | Coccinela 7-punctata | 5-25 | | |
| | | Sylpha obscura | 2-17 | | |
| | | Poecilus cupreus | 5-16 | | |
| | | Cantaris fusca | | | |
| | | Prolylaea 4 punctata | 4-19 | | |
| Parasites o | f larva | Predators of larva | | | |
| Trissolchus moderator | 12-32 | Chrysopa carnea | 2-10 | | |
| Tetrastychus julis | 1-7 | Malachius bipustulatus | 4-22 | | |
| Lemophagus curtus | 1-2 | Nabis ferus | 5-10 | | |
| | | Coccinela 7-punctata | 3-12 | | |
| | | Pseudophonus spp. | 4-15 | | |
| Parasites of | pupae | Predators of adults | | | |
| Meigenia mutabilis | 2-5 | Asilus crabron iformis | 2-5 | | |
| | | Dioctria atricopilla | 1-3 | | |

Table 5. Efficiency of products tested for oats beetle control, in 2002

| | Dere | Efficiency (%) | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|------------|----------------|-------|--------|------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| Variant | Dose | Func | lulea | Cara | cal | Ora | Turda | | |
| | 1111,g/11a | wheat | oats | barley | oats | wheat | oats | wheat | |
| Actara 25 WG | 70 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 99 | 99 | 98 | 98 | |
| Bestseller 10EC | 100 | 98 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 98 | 97 | 97 | |
| Calypso 480SC | 80 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 99 | 98 | 99 | 99 | |
| Cipertrin 10CE | 100 | 97 | 98 | 98 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 98 | |
| Dakillin 10CE | 150 | 98 | 99 | 98 | 97 | 98 | 98 | 97 | |
| Decis 25WG | 30 | 96 | 98 | 97 | 98 | 97 | 98 | 98 | |
| Efcymetrin 10CE | 100 | 97 | 96 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 97 | 97 | |
| Mavrik 25EW | 200 | 98 | 98 | 97 | 98 | 96 | 98 | 98 | |
| Pyrinex 25ME | 3000 | 96 | 98 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 98 | |
| Vantex 225 | 70 | 96 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 96 | 97 | 99 | |

ROMANIAN AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH

| KarateZeon (standard) | 150 | 97 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 97 | 99 | 98 |
|-----------------------------------|-----|----------------------|-----|----------------------|-----|----------------------|-----|----------------------|
| Untreated (larva/m ²) | | 237 | 386 | 325 | 457 | 304 | 425 | 275 |
| Date of treatment | | 27 th May | | 26 th May | | 30 th May | | 28 th May |

Table 6. Efficiency of products tested for oats beetle control, in 2004

| | Doco | Efficiency (%) | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------|----------------------|------|----------------------|------|----------------------|------|---------|--|
| Variant | ml·g/ha | Fund | ulea | Cara | cal | Ora | dea | Avorago | |
| | nn,g/na | wheat | oats | barley | oats | wheat | oats | Avelage | |
| Alfasect 10CE | 100 | 97.0 | 98.3 | 98.0 | 97.3 | 99.0 | 98.5 | 98.1 | |
| Dacin 1 20SP | 100 | 98.0 | 97.8 | 98.2 | 98.7 | 99.0 | 98.8 | 98.4 | |
| Deltaplan 25EC | 300 | 99.3 | 98.0 | 98.4 | 98.8 | 99.0 | 98.6 | 98.7 | |
| Cipermetrin 10EC | 100 | 97.8 | 97.1 | 96.4 | 97.5 | 98.3 | 97.5 | 97.4 | |
| Faster 10EC | 100 | 98.1 | 97.5 | 97.9 | 98.1 | 98.4 | 97.9 | 98.0 | |
| Grenade 10WDG | 75 | 98.1 | 99.0 | 96.7 | 98.9 | 98.7 | 97.5 | 98.2 | |
| Lalothrin 5EC | 150 | 98.8 | 98.1 | 97.4 | 98.5 | 99.3 | 98.5 | 98.4 | |
| MCW 449 5EC | 150 | 98.6 | 99.1 | 97.6 | 98.3 | 98.5 | 97.7 | 98.3 | |
| Proteus OD110 | 400 | 98.0 | 97.8 | 99.0 | 97.8 | 98.5 | 98.4 | 98.3 | |
| Pyrinex Quick | 1000 | 97.7 | 98.2 | 98.4 | 98.3 | 99.4 | 98.6 | 98.4 | |
| Supersect 10EC | 100 | 96.3 | 97.0 | 96.6 | 96.5 | 97.3 | 95.3 | 96.5 | |
| KarateZeon (standard) | 150 | 98.8 | 97.2 | 97.0 | 99.0 | 98.5 | 99.0 | 98.2 | |
| Untreated (larva/m ²) | | 268 | 380 | 355 | 450 | 333 | 418 | 368 | |
| Date of treatment | | 28 th May | | 31 th May | | 30 th May | | - | |

Table 7. Efficiency of products tested for oats beetle control, in 2005

| | | Efficiency (%) | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------|-------------------|-------|------------------|---------|-----------------|-------|---------|--|
| Variant | Dose | Fund | lulea | Marc | culesti | Car | racal | | |
| | ml;g/ha | wheat | oats | barley | oats | wheat | oats | Average | |
| Acetamiprid 20SL | 100 | 98.5 | 98.4 | 98.0 | 98.3 | 97.9 | 98.0 | 98.2 | |
| Alfasect 10CE | 100 | 97.0 | 98.3 | 98.0 | 97.3 | 99.0 | 98.5 | 98.1 | |
| Decis 50EW | 150 | 99.0 | 98.4 | 98.8 | 98.5 | 98.9 | 98.5 | 98.7 | |
| Faster 10EC | 100 | 98.8 | 97.5 | 97.9 | 98.1 | 98.4 | 97.9 | 98.1 | |
| Faster Forte | 50 | 97.0 | 98.6 | 96.8 | 97.7 | 98.5 | 97.8 | 97.8 | |
| Imidan 50WP | 1250 | 97.8 | 98.0 | 97.0 | 98.6 | 98.0 | 98.2 | 98.0 | |
| Kalipo 2,5EC | 300 | 99.3 | 98.0 | 98.4 | 98.0 | 98.0 | 98.6 | 98.4 | |
| Legend EC | 100 | 97.5 | 97.0 | 98.9 | 96.4 | 98.2 | 98.3 | 97.8 | |
| Lalothrin 5EC | 150 | 98.8 | 98.1 | 97.4 | 98.5 | 99.3 | 98.5 | 98.5 | |
| Proteus OD110 | 350 | 98.0 | 98.8 | 99.0 | 97.8 | 98.5 | 98.4 | 98.5 | |
| Supersect 10EC | 100 | 96.3 | 97.0 | 96.6 | 96.5 | 97.3 | 95.3 | 96.5 | |
| Vantex CIG 60CS | 70 | 98.1 | 99.0 | 96.7 | 98.9 | 98.7 | 97.5 | 98.2 | |
| Vip | 150 | 98.3 | 98.3 | 98.5 | 97.7 | 98.6 | 98.8 | 98.4 | |
| Viper | 150 | 97.3 | 97.0 | 96.9 | 97.7 | 98.0 | 99.8 | 97.8 | |
| Voyager | 100 | 97.6 | 97.3 | 97.4 | 96.9 | 97.7 | 98.8 | 97.7 | |
| Cipertrin 10EC (standard) | 100 | 98.0 | 97.9 | 97.8 | 97.9 | 98.0 | 97.7 | 97.9 | |
| Untreated (larva/m ²) | | 270 | 408 | 329 | 372 | 298 | 378 | 343 | |
| Date of treatme | nt | 2 nd . | June | 30 th | May | 4 nd | June | | |

CONCLUSIONS

In Romania, *Lema* genus is represented by six species, of which *Lema melanopa* is dominant and very harmful.

Lema melanopa species is spread all over the country and has a damaging area of about 200,000 ha.

The most affected counties are those of West and center of Romania, as well as the Southern hilly regions.

The natural parasitism of eggs determined by *Trichogramma* spp. and the predators of eggs and larvae (*Chrysopa carnea, Nabis ferus, Coccinella septempunctata*) strongly diminish the oats beetle populations, but without avoiding damages.

In order to protect small grains, treatments on 50,000-100,000 ha are annually applied.

Research in the pest control emphasizes the high efficiency of the tested insecticides.

REFERENCES

Arion, G., 1957. Entomologie agricola, Edit. Agro-Silvica.

- Balachowschi, A., Mesnil, L., 1935-1936. Les insectes nuis ibles aux plantes cultivee, vol. I-II, Paris.
- Barbulescu, A. et al., 1993. Combaterea bolilor si daunatorilor unor culturi de câmp. Edit. Tehnica, Bucuresti.
- Barbulescu, A., Popov, C., Mateias, M.C., 2002. Bolile si daunatorii culturilor de câmp. Edit. Ceres, Bucuresti.
- Barbulescu, A., Mateias, M.C., Popov, C., Voinescu, I., Guran, M., Raranciuc, S., Mincu, M., Spiridon, C., Stanciu, M., 1998. Evolution of some diseases and pests of cereal, industrial and forage crops in our country during 1997 (in Romanian). Probleme de protectia plantelor, 26, 1:61-79.
- Barbulescu, A., 1998. Results obtained in 1997 in the research on diseases and pests of cereals and some industrial cereal forage crops Probleme de protectia plantelor, 26, 2: 117-173.
- Boguleanu, G., 1994. Fauna daunatoare culturilor agricole si forestiere din România. Edit. Tehnica Agricola, Bucuresti.
- Bucurean, E., 1998. Cercetari de biologie, ecologie si combatere a gândacului ovazului (*Oulema melanopus* L.). Tez a doctorat, U.S.A.M.V. Cluj-Napoca.
- Hulea, A., Paulian, F., Comes, I., Hatman, M., Peiu, M., Popov, C., 1975. Bolile si daunatorii cerealelor. Edit. Ceres, Bucuresti.
- Knechtel, W.K., Manolache, C., 1936. Observatii biologice asupra gândacului ovazului (*Lema melanopa* L.) în România. Anale I.C.A.R., VII: 186-208.
- Knechtel, W., 1951. Fauna R.P.R., Insecta VIII, *Thysanoptera*. Edit. Academiei Române.
- Manolache, C. et al., 1946-1957. Situatia daunatorilor animali ai plantelor cultivate. I.C.A.R., Rapoarte si Memorii. Edit. Agro-Silvica, Bucuresti.

- Paulian, F., Tusa, C., Iliescu, H., Popov, C., Tanase, V., Barbulescu, A., 1974. Îndrumator pentru combaterea bolilor si daunatorilor culturilor de câmp. Redactia Revistelor Agricole.
- Paulian, F. et al., 1979. Results obtained in 1978 in the studies on the diseases and pests of field crops. Probleme de protectia plantelor, 7, 4: 267-330.
- Popov, C., 1991. Research regarding the chemical control of oats beetle (*Lema melanopa*). Probleme de protectia plantelor, 19, 3-4: 171-179.
- Popov, C., 2003. Research regarding the protection of cereals, leguminous for grains, industrial and forage crops to pathogens and pests performed in 2002 year (in Romanian). Probleme de protectia plantelor, 31, 2:7-85.
- Popov, C., Guran, M., Raranciuc, S., Rotarescu, M., Spiridon, C., Vasilescu, S., Gogu, F., 2003. Phytosanitary state of cereal, legumonous for grain, industrial and fodder crops in Romania in 2002 year (in Romanian). Probleme de protectia plantelor, 31, 1: 1-23.
- Popov, C., Barbulescu, A., Vilau, F., Trotus, E., Malschi, D., Vasilescu, S., Trasca, G., 2003. Drought effect on pests attack in field crops (in Romanian). Probleme de protectia plantelor, 31, 1: 49-67.
- Popov, C., Malschi, D., Vilau, F., 2004. Researches regarding the dynamics of oats beetle (*Lema melanopa*) populations under Romania conditions (in Romanian). Probleme de protectia plantelor, 32, 1:33-43.
- Rogojanu, V., Perju, T., 1979. Determinator pentru recunoasterea daunatorilor plantelor cultivate. Edit. Ceres.
- Szabolcs, J., 1974. Vizagalatok a gabonafeleket karosito *Lema* (Col. *Chrysomelidae*) fajokkal kapcsolatban, Novenyvedelem, X, 9: 389-393.
- Savescu, A., 1962-1964. Album de protectia plantelor, vol. I, II, III. Atelierele de material didactic. Bucuresti.
- Sin, G. et al., 2000. Tehnologii moderne pentru cultura plantelelor. Edit. Ceres, Bucuresti.
- Sandru, I., 1996. Protejarea culturilor agricole cu ajutorul pesticidelor. Edit. Helicon, Timisoara.
- Venturi, F., 1936. Contributioni alla conscenza delli Entomofauna della *Graminacee* cultivate e spontanacee. III. Boll. Ist. Entom. Bologna, 8: 141-149.
- Webster, J.A., 1972. Developing resistance to cereal leaf beetle, morphological basis, problems and progress. Proc. N. C. Br., 26: 98-102.
- Weloso, S.G., 1973. Cereal leaf beetle: larval feeding, orientation, development and survival on four small grain cultivars in the laboratory. Ann. Entomol. Soc. Amm., 66, 6: 1201-1208.
- Wilson, M.C., Treece, E.R. et al., 1969. Impact of cereal leaf beetle larvae on yield of oats, J. Econ. Entomol., 62: 699-702.